### 





# **Neural responses to touch in naturalistic** mother-infant interactions

Trinh Nguyen & Stefanie Hoehl Department for Developmental and Educational Psychology, University of Vienna



Touch in mother-infant interactions occurs between 55% and 99% of the time (Jean & Stack, 2009)

- Caregiver touch and physical proximity play a vital role in infant's growth and development (Field, 2002)
- However, little is known about infants' neural responses to social touch.
- Previously, mostly cortical activation in infant's to stroking, as one form of affectionate touch, was assessed (Jönsson et al., 2018, Pirazzoli et al., 2019)



Can we identify neural responses to various forms of social touch in infants using naturalistic interactions?

# 4-6-months-old infants 69 minute face-to-face free play 5 channel fNIRS measurement





**Region of Interest:** Inferior frontal gyrus Lateral prefrontal cortex Medial prefrontal cortex

## fNIRS Processing (MATLAB)

fNIRS device: NIRSport1 (NIRx GmbH, Germany) Visual quality check, motion correction using wavelet-based algorithm, band-pass filtering (0.01 - 0.5 Hz), GLM using a canonical function

### Video Coding (INTERACT)

Frame-to-frame segmentation of Active, Passive, Functional, Infant and Non-Touch (Kappa=0.84) Categorization of Active Touch into Affectionate and **Stimulating** Touch (Kappa=1.00)

**Statistical Analysis:** Linear Mixed Effects modelling using lme4 in R

Infants show general heightened activation in the



Infants show no differentiating brain responses to passive, 3 functional and self-initiated touch in the IFG, IPFC or mPFC, p>.600

- We can identify infants' brain activation patterns in association to incidences of social touch during naturalistic mother-infant interactions.
- Infants show differential brain activation in the IPFC to longer durations of affectionate touch vs. stimulating touch during a free play situation with their mother.
- Even though the results are preliminary, they show the potential of measuring infants brain activity during naturalistic interactions to take the multi-modality of stimulation through touch into account.

### **Outlook:**

- How are the neural responses in HbR?

- Segmentation of neural time-series into events of social touch and

## re-analysis of GLM - Functional connectivity analysis

### **CONTACT THE AUTHORS**



Trinh Nguyen Email: trinh.nguyen@univie.ac.at



Stefanie Hoehl Email: stefanie.hoehl@univie.ac.at



Wiener Kinderstudien Email: kinderstudien@univie.ac.at Website : www.kinderstudien.at

We are grateful to our student assistants Dina Salamander, Lucie Zimmer, Anja Lueger and Sarah Paul for their help in data collection and video coding. Thanks to alle the families, who participated in the study.



### References

Field, T. (2002). Infants' Need for Touch. Human Development, 45(2), 100–103. https://doi.org/10.1159/000048156 Jean, A. D., & Stack, D. M. (2009). Functions of maternal touch and infants' affect during face-to-face interactions: New directions for the still-face. Infant Behavior and Development, 32(1), 123-128.

Jönsson, E. H., Kotilahti, K., Heiskala, J., Wasling, H. B., Olausson, H., Croy, I., ... Nissilä, I. (2018). Affective and nonaffective touch evoke differential brain responses in 2-month-old infants. *NeuroImage, 169*, 162–171. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.12.024

Pirazzoli, L., Lloyd-Fox, S., Braukmann, R., Johnson, M. H., & Gliga, T. (2019). Hand or spoon? Exploring the neural basis of affective touch in 5-month-old infants. Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience, 35, 28–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2018.06.002